Evaluation of Prescription Stimulant Use in the United States: Understanding Unique Behavioral Profiles

Karilynn M Rockhill MPH^a, Richard R. Olson MA^a, Janetta L Iwanicki MD^{a,} Joshua C Black PhD^a ^a Rocky Mountain Poison and Drug Safety, Denver Health and Hospital Authority, 1391 Speer Blvd UNIT 600, Denver, CO 80204

INTRODUCTION

- Central nervous system stimulants are critical treatments for a variety of illnesses including amphetamine and methylphenidate for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADD/ADHD) and modafinil for narcolepsy, shift work sleep disorder, and obstructive sleep apnea
- In 2019, US prescription stimulant dispensing was 6.1 prescriptions per 100-persons
- In 2020, 734,000 people misused a prescription stimulant for the first time; ~50% higher than initiation of cocaine, and ~400% higher than initiation of methamphetamine
- Crucial components of prescription stimulant use during adulthood remain poorly understood.

Objective: To evaluate prescription stimulant use behaviors to understand subpopulations of risk by quantitatively delineate behavioral profiles using latent class methodology.

METHODS

A cross-sectional, nationally representative online survey of adults in the US was utilized (2018-2019) from the Survey of Non-Medical Use of Prescription Drugs (NMURx) Program. Adults reporting past-year non-medical use (NMU) of prescription stimulants were included. Latent class analyses categorized individuals into mutually exclusive subpopulations. Model inputs included: prescription stimulant NMU, illicit stimulant use, use reason, administration route, and drug source. Classes were interpreted using item-response probabilities and prevalence. Class assignment association with demographics and DAST-10 score was explored. The DAST-10 score of 3 or more is indicative of potential substance use disorders. Calibration weighting generated representative results.

CONCLUSIONS

Adults who non-medically use prescription stimulants are heterogenous in behaviors and motivations. Stimulant use intervention research should consider motivations driving individual behavior.

This abstract outlines work conducted under Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Broad Agency Announcement (BAA) #75F40120C00151 and do not necessarily reflect the opinion of the funder. In addition, The Researched Abuse, Diversion and Addiction-Related Surveillance (RADARS®) System is the property of Denver Health and Hospital Authority, a political subdivision of the State of Colorado. The RADARS System is supported by subscribers did not participate in the conception, development, analysis, or interpretation of this abstract.

RESULTS

Figure 1: Item-Response Probabilities - Latent Class Model Amphetamine Methylphenidate Other Diversion Eriends or Family Atomoxetine/Modafinil Own Rx Inject Inhale Alert or Focus



Enjoyment or High

Swallow

Table 2: Interpretations of Identified Subpopulations

	Latent Class Subpopulation	
	Amphetamine Self-	High: using a
	Medication	symptoms, s
		High: using r
	Non-Amphetamine	treating sym
	Performance Use	Moderate: so
		friends/famil
	Recreational Use	High: using a
		swallowing,
		Moderate: us
		diversion.
	Non-Discriminatory	High: multi-
	Stimulant Use	routes, sour



Saving lives with answers.™



Other Oral

Latent Class (y Parameter) Amphetamine Self-Medicators (25.72%) Recreationalists (35.46%) Non-Amphetamine Performance Users (15.97%) Non-Discriminatory Stimulant Users (22.85%)

Table 1: Prevaler

Any Prescripti Stimulant

Amphetamine

Methylphenidate

Modafinil

Atomoxetine

- subpopulations
- others

Description by Probability Level

own prescription amphetamine, treating swallowing

methylphenidate and atomoxetine/modafinil, ptoms, swallowing

ourcing through own prescription,

y, diversion.

amphetamine and illicits, using for alertness, snorting, sourcing from friends/family

sing for enjoyment/high, sourcing through

drug use, multiple NMU reasons, using multiple cing through diversion.

Explore data like this on our live dashboard!



nce of Prescription Stimulant NMU				
	Prevalence (95% CI)			
on	1.96 (1.86, 2.06)			
	1.54 (1.45, 1.64)			
е	0.33 (0.29, 0.37)			
	0.21 (0.18, 0.24)			
	0.20 (0.17, 0.23)			

• Demographics did not differentiate the 4

• Proportion with history of ADHD/ADD was highest among Amphetamine Self-Medication, but low amongst

• High DAST-10 scores were associated with all three classes compared to Amphetamine Self-Medication • The Recreationalist and Non-Discriminatory User subgroups (totaling over half of adults who NMU) are concerning since they tend to obtain the drug from sources other than a healthcare professional

History of	DAST-10
ADHD/ADD (%)	Score ≥3 (%)
42.91	22.34
(37.46, 48.36)	(17.93, 26.75)
22.85	35.17
(17.42, 28.27)	(29.32, 41.02)
18.86	42.49
(15.45, 22.28)	(38.14, 46.84)
29.30	66.39
(25.01, 33.58)	(61.85, 70.93)